§ 2000e-5(k), and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 3. On July 22, 2025, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Remand. [ECF No. 12.] In the Motion to Remand, Plaintiff asserted he alleged claims arising under Nevada state law. Thus, the basis for removal jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 does not exist.
- 4. Accordingly, the parties hereby agree and stipulate to remand to State Court is appropriate.
- 5. Further, the parties agree that that upon remand to Judge Clark Newberry of the Eighth Judicial District Court Clark County, Nevada, Defendant will file its responsive pleading within fourteen (14) days after Plaintiff files and serves a written notice of entry of the remand order, pursuant to NRCP 81(c).
- 6. Accordingly, it is hereby stipulated and agreed that at this time, this Court does not have jurisdiction under either 28 U.S.C. § 1331 or 28 U.S.C. § 1332 for this matter and this action should be remanded back to the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447.

Dated: August 15, 2025

Dated: August 15, 2025

17 /s/ Marian L. Massey Jemma E. Dunn, Esq.

Matthew T. Hale, Esq.

Marian L. Massey, Esq. GREENBERG GROSS LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff JAMARKUS

PORTER

/s/ Taylor A. Buono

Roger L. Grandgenett II, Esq.

Taylor A. Buono, Esq.

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant

AFFORDABLE STRIPING & SEALING,

LLC

IT IS SO ORDERED. IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED that all pending motions are denied as moot.

Dated: August _______, 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4926-1123-1326.1 / 109069.1004

28